

STEVEN G. KALAR
Federal Public Defender
BRANDON M. LEBLANC
Assistant Federal Public Defender
19th Floor Federal Building
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7700
Email: brandon_leblanc@fd.org
Counsel for Defendant Luke Brugnara

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR 14-306 VC
)	CASE NO. CR 08-222 WHA
Plaintiff,)	
)	DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT IN
v.)	RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S
)	NOTICE OF A RELATED CASE IN A
LUKE BRUGNARA,)	CRIMINAL ACTION
)	
Defendant.)	

INTRODUCTION

Defendant Luke Brugnara, by and through his counsel, Brandon LeBlanc, and the Office of the Federal Public Defender, submits this brief response to the Government's notice of a related case in a criminal action. *See* Docket Entry No. 257.

STATEMENT

At present, there are two pending criminal matters against Luke Brugnara in the Northern District of California. The assigned case numbers are CR 14-306 VC and CR 08-222 WHA. In CR 14-306 VC, Mr. Brugnara is charged by indictment with mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341. *See* CR 14-306, Docket Entry No. 9. The basis of the mail fraud charge is that Mr. Brugnara allegedly sought to defraud a private art dealer of \$11 million in high-value, fine art.

1 *See* CR 08-222, Docket Entry No. 257, at 1-2.

2 Mr. Brugnara is also on supervised release in relation to his convictions for filing false tax
3 returns in CR 08-222 WHA. In this matter, the United States Probation Office recently filed a
4 Form 12, charging Mr. Brugnara with violating a condition of supervised release – namely, that he
5 not commit a new law violation – based upon the pending mail fraud charge in CR 14-306 VC.

6 Because these matters involve the same defendant and the two matters concern “the same
7 alleged events, occurrences, transactions or property;” notice is appropriate under Criminal Local
8 Rule 8-1.

9 Militating in favor of the two cases being related is that Mr. Brugnara is a defendant in
10 two district courts with two pending criminal matters alleging identical unlawful conduct, as
11 contemplated under Criminal Local Rule 8-1(b)(1) and (b)(2). Militating against the two cases
12 being related is that – were the district court in CR 08-222 WHA to merely defer any probation
13 revocation hearing pending the resolution of the mail fraud charge in CR 14-306 VC – it is self-
14 evident that judicial resources would readily be conserved as such a hearing, at this juncture, in
15 and of its would not promote judicial economy. *See* Docket Entry No. 267, at 2-3.

16 However, if the district court holds an evidentiary hearing on the pending Form 12 in CR
17 08-222 WHA, as is currently scheduled for Monday, June 16, 2014, then Mr. Brugnara agrees
18 that subsequently relating the cases would ultimately conserve judicial resources and promote the
19 efficient determination of the action(s).

20 Dated: June 13, 2014

21 Respectfully submitted,

22 STEVEN G. KALAR
23 Federal Public Defender

24 /S/

25 BRANDON M. LEBLANC
26 Assistant Federal Public Defender